LSU Integrative Learning Core (ILC) Proficiency: Written Communication								
<b>Proficiency Dimensions</b>		Graduating Assessment						
Description	Four levels of performance	The capstone level of performance is LSU benchmark for graduating seniors.						
	NOT APPROACHING 0	APPROACHING 1	<b>MEETING 2</b>	EXCEEDING 3	CAPSTONE 4			
Context and Purpose					Demonstrates a thorough understanding of context, audience, and purpose that is responsive to the assigned task(s) and focuses all elements of the work.			
Content Development					Uses appropriate, relevant, and compelling content to illustrate mastery of the subject, conveying the writer's understanding, and shaping the whole work.			
Genre and Conventions					Demonstrates detailed attention to and successful execution of a wide range of conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task (s) including organization, content, presentation, formatting, and stylistic choices			
Sources and Evidence					Demonstrates skillful use of high- quality, credible, relevant sources to develop ideas that are appropriate for the discipline and genre of the writing			
Syntax and Mechanics					Uses graceful language that skillfully communicates meaning to readers with clarity and fluency, and is virtually error-free.			

LSU Adapted – AAC&U Written Communication VALUE Rubric

## WRITTEN COMMUNICATION RUBRIC

## **Definition**

Written communication is the development and expression of ideas in writing. Written communication involves learning to work in many genres and styles. It can involve working with many different writing technologies, and mixing texts, data, and images. Written communication abilities develop through iterative experiences across the curriculum.

## Framing Language

This writing rubric is designed for use in a wide variety of educational institutions. The most clear finding to emerge from decades of research on writing assessment is that the best writing assessments are locally determined and sensitive to local context and mission. Users of this rubric should, in the end, consider making adaptations and additions that clearly link the language of the rubric to individual campus contexts.

This rubric focuses assessment on how specific written work samples or collectios of work respond to specific contexts. The central question guiding the rubric is "How well does writing respond to the needs of audience(s) for the work?" In focusing on this question the rubric does not attend to other aspects of writing that are equally important: issues of writing process, writing strategies, writers' fluency with different modes of textual production or publication, or writer's growing engagement with writing and disciplinarity through the process of writing.

Evaluators using this rubric must have information about the assignments or purposes for writing guiding writers' work. Also recommended is including reflective work samples of collections of work that address such questions as: What decisions did the writer make about audience, purpose, and genre as s/he compiled the work in the portfolio? How are those choices evident in the writing -- in the content, organization and structure, reasoning, evidence, mechanical and surface conventions, and citational systems used in the writing? This will enable evaluators to have a clear sense of how writers understand the assignments and take it into consideration as they evaluate

The first section of this rubric addresses the context and purpose for writing. A work sample or collections of work can convey the context and purpose for the writing tasks it showcases by including the writing assignments associated with work samples. But writers may also convey the context and purpose for their writing within the texts. It is important for faculty and institutions to include directions for students about how they should represent their writing contexts and purposes.

Faculty interested in the research on writing assessment that has guided our work here can consult the National Council of Teachers of English/Council of Writing Program Administrators' White Paper on Writing Assessment (2008; www.wpacouncil.org/whitepaper) and the Conference on College Composition and Communication's Writing Assessment: A Position Statement (2008; www.ncte.org/cccc/resources/positions/123784.htm)

## Glossary

**Content Development:** The ways in which the text explores and represents its topic in relation to its audience and purpose.

Context of and purpose for writing: The context of writing is the situation surrounding a text: who is reading it? who is writing it? Under what circumstances will the text be shared or circulated? What social or political factors might affect how the text is composed or interpreted? The purpose for writing is the writer's intended effect on an audience. Writers might want to persuade or inform; they might want to report or summarize information; they might want to work through complexity or confusion; they might want to argue with other writers, or connect with other writers; they might want to convey urgency or amuse; they might write for themselves or for an assignment or to remember.

**Disciplinary conventions:** Formal and informal rules that constitute what is seen generally as appropriate within different academic fields, e.g. introductory strategies, use of passive voice or first person point of view, expectations for thesis or hypothesis, expectations for kinds of evidence and support that are appropriate to the task at hand, use of primary and secondary sources to provide evidence and support arguments and to document critical perspectives on the topic. Writers will incorporate sources according to disciplinary and genre conventions, according to the writer's purpose for the text. Through increasingly sophisticated use of sources, writers develop an ability to differentiate between their own ideas and the ideas of others, credit and build upon work already accomplished in the field or issue they are addressing, and provide meaningful examples to readers.

Evidence: Source material that is used to extend, in purposeful ways, writers' ideas in a text.

**Genre conventions:** Formal and informal rules for particular kinds of texts and/or media that guide formatting, organization, and stylistic choices, e.g. lab reports, academic papers, poetry, webpages, or personal essays.

**Sources:** Texts (written, oral, behavioral, visual, or other) that writers draw on as they work for a variety of purposes -- to extend, argue with, develop, define, or shape their ideas, for example.

Evaluators are encouraged to assign a zero to any work sample or collection of work that does not meet level 1 performance.

	Not Approaching 0	Approaching 1	Meeting 2	Exceeding 3	Capstone 4
			(CORE ASSESSMENT		(GRADUATING
			BENCHMARK)		ASSESSMENT
					BENCHMARK)
Context and Purpose	Does not meet level 1	Demonstrates minimal attention	Demonstrates awareness of	Demonstrates adequate	Demonstrates a thorough
	achievement target of	to context, audience, purpose,	context, audience, purpose, and to	consideration of context,	understanding of context,
	'Approaching'.	and to the assigned tasks(s) (e.g.,	the assigned tasks(s) (e.g., begins	audience, and purpose and a	audience, and purpose that is
		expectation of instructor or self	to show awareness of audience's	clear focus on the assigned	responsive to the assigned task(s)
		as audience).	perceptions and assumptions).	task(s) (e.g., the task aligns with	and focuses all elements of the
				audience, purpose, and context).	work.
Content	Does not meet level 1	Uses appropriate and relevant	Uses appropriate and relevant	Uses appropriate, relevant, and	Uses appropriate, relevant, and
Development	achievement target of	content to develop simple ideas	content to develop and explore	compelling content to explore	compelling content to illustrate
	'Approaching'.	in some parts of the work.	ideas through most of the work.	ideas within the context of the	mastery of the subject, conveying
				discipline and shape the whole	the writer's understanding, and
				work.	shaping the whole work.
Genre and	Does not meet level 1	Attempts to use a consistent	Follows expectations appropriate	Demonstrates consistent use of	Demonstrates detailed attention to
Conventions	achievement target of	system for basic organization	to a specific discipline and/or	important conventions particular	and successful execution of a
	'Approaching'.	and presentation.	writing task(s) for basic	to a specific discipline and/or	wide range of conventions
			organization, content, and	writing task(s), including	particular to a specific discipline
			presentation	organization, content,	and/or writing task (s)
				presentation, and stylistic	including organization, content,
				choices	presentation, formatting, and
					stylistic choices
Sources and	Does not meet level 1	Demonstrates an attempt to use	Demonstrates an attempt to use	Demonstrates consistent use of	Demonstrates skillful use of high-
Evidence	achievement target of	sources to support ideas in the	credible and/or relevant sources to	credible, relevant sources to	quality, credible, relevant sources
	'Approaching'.	writing.	support ideas that are appropriate	support ideas that are situated	to develop ideas that are
			for the discipline and genre of the	within the discipline and genre	appropriate for the discipline and
			writing.	of the writing.	genre of the writing
Syntax and	Does not meet level 1	Uses language that sometimes	Uses language that generally	Uses straightforward language	Uses graceful language that
Mechanics	achievement target of	impedes meaning because of	conveys meaning to readers with	that generally conveys meaning	skillfully communicates meaning
Michanics	'Approaching'.	errors in usage.	clarity, although writing may	to readers. The language in the	to readers with clarity and
	Approaching.	citots iii usage.	include some errors.	portfolio has few errors.	fluency, and is virtually error-
			merade some errors.	portiono has iew citors.	free.
					nec.

LSU Adapted – AAC&U Written Communication VALUE Rubric