

## Greater Baton Rouge Survey

Religiosity is a strong aspect of many people's lives. Even when there is no religion, this still has an impact on the way they view many things in this world. Though, strong religious strength and having some sort of faith has a much more important influence on people than no religion at all. Strong religious beliefs have given more to people's lives and these same people have risked many things just in the name of their religion or just in the name of faith. Religious beliefs also influence many attitudes towards many controversial issues such as the death penalty and gun control. Race and income also play some part in religious strength and religious groups. This is because these two variables are separated, though not intentionally, into certain religious groups. I believe that there is a statistically significant relationship between gun control, the opinion of the death penalty, race, and income. I also believe that religion will effect these statistically because of how religion effects people. People who are highly influenced by religion in their lives are more likely to oppose the death penalty and support stricter gun control laws.

When testing the hypotheses, I used six variables. These include opinions of death penalty, gun control, race, income, and the amount an individual attended church a week. The survey did not involve any kind of religious strength question, therefore I replaced it with the amount a participant attended church a week. I was interested in the amount of church attended per week between the death penalty, as well as if the amount of income effected the support of the death penalty. Also, I wanted to test the relationships between the death penalty and race, gun control and race, church attended and stricter gun control laws, and income and gun control, and finally between race, amount of church attended and the death penalty. I

developed my hypotheses using evidence I obtained from conducting surveys and also from what I believed to be significant relationships. Throughout my research, I tried earnestly to predict what I thought would happen out of mind. I did not want to jeopardize my results with my own opinions and thoughts about these subjects.

To begin the research, I selected six variables; death penalty, race, income, church attended, and gun control. First, I decided on certain differences that I wanted to know, such as different opinions of people who attended church once or more times a week. This became my dependent variable, for which I then stated an explanation for the difference. Variables, such as income and race became my independent variables. After establishing my hypotheses, I ran the operation Crosstabs on the variables I was testing. This allowed me to single out the variables and determine if a significant relationship existed. As I said before, there were no questions to deal with about religious affiliation or strength, I decided to replace those with the amount of church a person attended a week. Though, I did not actually recode the information on the computer, I believed that the amount of church a person attends can determine the strength of a person belief. After I decided to do this, and ran the Crosstabs, I ascertained whether the evidence supported my hypotheses.

Repetitious

My first hypotheses is as follows: People with strong religious beliefs will oppose the death penalty, and this opposition will increase with the decreasing of income. I based this hypotheses on the belief that people who attend church or have a strong faith stand ground when it comes to life. Most religions teach the love of life, and within this life there must also be forgiveness, and the teaching of faith. According to this belief, people who attend church or have religion in their life will want to protect all life, though they also will want to protect

innocent life from that which threatens it. From conducting my share of surveys, it seems as if those who are against the death penalty had described themselves as having a strong religious faith. Income was included in this hypothesis because I connected low income and strong faith with a higher level of opposition than that of high income and weak attendance or weak faith.

Why?

I ran Crosstabs with the amount of church people attended and attitude on the death penalty. I also ran the same Crosstabs again but took out church attendance and replaced it with income. I calculated chi-square, Cramers V, and, when appropriate gamma. I included column percentages in the tables as well. By looking at the percentages, I find some significant numbers. The participants who attend church every week (or more often) have a very high opposing rate against the death penalty. Though, there was a high percentage who favored the death penalty who attended church every week. There is only a 4.9% difference between the opposition of the death penalty and the people who favor it, with the opposition totaling a 45.1%. The next largest difference is with the people who attend church once or twice a month. The difference here is 6.6%, with the favoring view being higher (22.5) than the opposing view. Cramer's V determines whether or not the relationship is strong or weak. For this table, Cramer's V is .084 which indicates the significance is weak. There is somewhat of a significance that there is a higher percentage of people who oppose the death penalty and these are the people who attend church every week, and sometimes attend more. The Gamma value is .111 which is a moderate. This shows that as religion increases the opposing of the death penalty increases. Though this all changes when I control for income. The relationship seems much more significant. The income of \$25,000 to \$75,000 have the highest percentage (55.6%) of favoring the death penalty. I predicted that people with a lower income will favor it

Percentaged  
wrong way

Significance

Insignificant

more than any of the income categories. This turned out to be false because the people who make \$75,000 or more make up 25.7%. The participants who make under \$25,000 are the ones who favor the death penalty less, which I find quite interesting.

My next hypotheses was people who attended church are in more favor of stricter gun control laws. The attitudes on gun control will vary in degrees of opposition and favoritism. I predict that people who do attend church on a weekly basis or more will be in support of stricter gun control laws. Because people of religious beliefs value life and therefore would support the government in making stricter gun control laws. To test my hypothesis, I ran laws on firearms more strict and church attendance. The percentages indicate that there is not a relationship between the two variables. For people who attend church less than a few times per year, 60% of the participants believe that gun control laws should be more strict. For the participants who attend church every week or more, 70.3% of them believe that the laws should be more strict as well. Every category but the people who attended church a few times per year never went over 9%. Cramer's V is .074 which means a weak connection between the two variables. Gamma is -.128 which indicates a negative relationship. Refer to page 4.

5

You're  
reading from  
wrong table

The last two hypotheses are concerned with attitudes toward gun control. These variables relate to the other hypotheses because they test whether religious strength influences people's attitudes. The percentages on the table do not indicate any differences between the different church attendance, race and gun control. Church attendance was brought in because I wanted to see whether or not if this effected the views of participants on whether or not they chose to have stricter gun control laws. The highest percentages throughout this table are whites who attend church a few times a year which was 87.5%. From what I can see, whites are in

more favor to keep gun laws as they are, as well as less strict. Though there were many who believed that gun control should be more strict. Please refer to page 9.

This survey was conducted in the Greater Baton Rouge area, and were only Baton Rouge residents that were questioned. We received our numbers by Random Digit Dialing, and the participants had complete confidentiality when taking the survey. The questions that were used were taken off different surveys off the Internet and the questions that were thought to be more significant were carefully placed in they survey. After we were given the numbers, were received the survey and each one took about fifteen to twenty minutes to go through. Many people were cooperative, but some declined very abrupt.

Methods section should be at beginning

Most of my hypotheses proved to be incorrect, and this could be caused by the fact that the survey was only done with about three hundred participants, and Baton Rouge is a large city. We were only given a small sample size to work with. Most of the people that were interviewed were women, this being that more women are comfortable talking on the phone and men are not. Also my hypotheses may not have been clear enough or there might of been concern with my Crosstabs, and there should of been tables ran that would of bettered my results. To help increase my hypotheses to be correct I could have chosen better variables, and ones that could have been related to each other. Or another reason that would of made things a little easier was not to have so many variables. Overall, the research that I did and the participants that I surveyed were very interesting and gave me something to look at. It also allowed me to understand the different investigations that sociologists do to figure out different hypotheses to help the government understand the opinions of today's society.

The paper has problems. Your Hypotheses are reasonable, but with 1 exception, you don't test them correctly. The tables are percentaged in the wrong direction. Also, you should have collapsed some categories in Church Attendance. Methods section needed to be at the beginning.

D+

# Crosstabs

## Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
V24R Church attendance (R) * V19 Favor death penalty	332	92.7%	26	7.3%	358	100.0%

### V24R Church attendance (R) \* V19 Favor death penalty Crosstabulation

			V19 Favor death penalty	
			1 Favor	2 Oppose
V24R Church attendance (R)	2 Less often than that	Count	10	4
		% within V19 Favor death penalty	4.8%	4.9%
	3 A few times per year	Count	28	9
		% within V19 Favor death penalty	13.4%	11.0%
	4 Once or twice a month	Count	47	13
	% within V19 Favor death penalty	22.5%	15.9%	
	5 Almost every week	Count	40	19
		% within V19 Favor death penalty	19.1%	23.2%
	6 Every week (or more often)	Count	84	37
		% within V19 Favor death penalty	40.2%	45.1%
Total		Count	209	82
		% within V19 Favor death penalty	100.0%	100.0%

**V24R Church attendance (R) \* V19 Favor death penalty Crosstabulation**

			V19 Favor	
			9 Don't know, No answer	Total
V24R Church attendance (R)	2 Less often than that	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	2 4.9%	16 4.8%
	3 A few times per year	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	3 7.3%	40 12.0%
	4 Once or twice a month	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	6 14.6%	66 19.9%
	5 Almost every week	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	10 24.4%	69 20.8%
	6 Every week (or more often)	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	20 48.8%	141 42.5%
Total		Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	41 100.0%	332 100.0%

**Symmetric Measures**

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error <sup>a</sup>	Approx. T <sup>b</sup>	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by	Phi	.118			.796
Nominal	Cramer's V	.084			.796
Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	.124	.078	1.593	.111
N of Valid Cases		332			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

**Crosstabs**

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
INCOME Household Income (3 category) * V19 Favor death penalty	269	75.1%	89	24.9%	358	100.0%

**INCOME Household Income (3 category) \* V19 Favor death penalty Crosstabulation**

			V19 Favor death penalty	
			1 Favor	2 Oppose
INCOME Household Income (3 category)	1 Under \$25,000	Count	32	19
		% within V19 Favor death penalty	18.7%	26.8%
	2 \$25,000 to \$75,000	Count	95	35
	% within V19 Favor death penalty	55.6%	49.3%	
	3 Over \$75,000	Count	44	17
	% within V19 Favor death penalty	25.7%	23.9%	
Total		Count	171	71
		% within V19 Favor death penalty	100.0%	100.0%

**INCOME Household Income (3 category) \* V19 Favor death penalty Crosstabulation**

			V19 Favor	
			9 Don't know, No answer	Total
INCOME Household Income (3 category)	1 Under \$25,000	Count	8	59
		% within V19 Favor death penalty	29.6%	21.9%
	2 \$25,000 to \$75,000	Count	13	143
	% within V19 Favor death penalty	48.1%	53.2%	
	3 Over \$75,000	Count	6	67
	% within V19 Favor death penalty	22.2%	24.9%	
Total		Count	27	269
		% within V19 Favor death penalty	100.0%	100.0%

**Symmetric Measures**

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error <sup>a</sup>	Approx. T <sup>b</sup>	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by	Phi	.105			.565
Nominal	Cramer's V	.074			.565
Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	-.128	.100	-1.274	.203
N of Valid Cases		269			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

**Crosstabs**

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R) * V24R Church attendance (R)	326	91.1%	32	8.9%	358	100.0%

V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R) \* V24R Church attendance (R) Crosstabulation

			V24R Church attendance (R)	
			2 Less often than that	3 A few times per year
V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	1 Less strict	Count % within V24R Church attendance (R)	1 6.7%	8 20.0%
	2 Kept as they are now	Count % within V24R Church attendance (R)	5 33.3%	13 32.5%
	3 More strict	Count % within V24R Church attendance (R)	9 60.0%	19 47.5%
Total		Count % within V24R Church attendance (R)	15 100.0%	40 100.0%

**V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R) \* V24R Church attendance (R) Crosstabulation**

			V24R Church attendance (R)	
			4 Once or twice a month	5 Almost every week
V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	1 Less strict	Count % within V24R Church attendance (R)	5 7.6%	5 7.5%
	2 Kept as they are now	Count % within V24R Church attendance (R)	27 40.9%	26 38.8%
	3 More strict	Count % within V24R Church attendance (R)	34 51.5%	36 53.7%
Total		Count % within V24R Church attendance (R)	66 100.0%	67 100.0%

**V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R) \* V24R Church attendance (R) Crosstabulation**

			V24R	
			6 Every week (or more often)	Total
V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	1 Less strict	Count	10	29
		% within V24R Church attendance (R)	7.2%	8.9%
	2 Kept as they are now	Count	31	102
		% within V24R Church attendance (R)	22.5%	31.3%
	3 More strict	Count	97	195
		% within V24R Church attendance (R)	70.3%	59.8%
Total		Count	138	326
		% within V24R Church attendance (R)	100.0%	100.0%

**Symmetric Measures**

		Value	Asymp. Std. Error <sup>a</sup>	Approx. T <sup>b</sup>	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.233			.024
	Cramer's V	.165			.024
Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	.236	.075	3.059	.002
N of Valid Cases		326			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

**Crosstabs**

**Case Processing Summary**

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
V29 Race * V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R) * V24R Church attendance (R)	323	90.2%	35	9.8%	358	100.0%

V29 Race \* V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R) \* V24R Church attendance (R) Crosstabulation

V24R Church attendance (R)				V16R Laws on firearms more	
				1 Less strict	2 Kept as they are now
2 Less often than that	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)		4 80.0%
		2 Black	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	1 100.0%	
		5 Other	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)		1 20.0%
		Total	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	1 100.0%	5 100.0%
3 A few times per year	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	7 87.5%	11 84.6%
		2 Black	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	1 12.5%	2 15.4%
		Total	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	8 100.0%	13 100.0%
4 Once or twice a month	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	3 60.0%	19 70.4%
		2 Black	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	2 40.0%	7 25.9%
		5 Other	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)		1 3.7%
		Total	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	5 100.0%	27 100.0%
5 Almost every week	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	4 80.0%	19 73.1%
		2 Black	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	1 20.0%	5 19.2%
		3 Asian	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)		
		5 Other	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)		2 7.7%
		Total	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	5 100.0%	26 100.0%
6 Every week (or more often)	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	10 100.0%	27 87.1%

V29 Race \* V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R) \* V24R Church attendance (R) Crosstabulation

V24R Church attendance (R)				V16R Laws on firearms more	
				1 Less strict	2 Kept as they are now
6 Every week (or more often)	V29 Race	2 Black	Count		3
			% within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)		9.7%
		3 Asian	Count		
		% within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)			
	5 Other	Count		1	
		% within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)		3.2%	
	Total	Count		10	31
		% within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)		100.0%	100.0%

V29 Race \* V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R) \* V24R Church attendance (R) Crosstabulation

V24R Church attendance (R)				V16R Laws	
				3 More strict	Total
2 Less often than that	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	8 88.9%	12 80.0%
		2 Black	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	1 11.1%	2 13.3%
		5 Other	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)		1 6.7%
		Total	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	9 100.0%	15 100.0%
3 A few times per year	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	18 94.7%	36 90.0%
		2 Black	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	1 5.3%	4 10.0%
		Total	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	19 100.0%	40 100.0%
4 Once or twice a month	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	19 55.9%	41 62.1%
		2 Black	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	13 38.2%	22 33.3%
		5 Other	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	2 5.9%	3 4.5%
		Total	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	34 100.0%	66 100.0%
5 Almost every week	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	21 60.0%	44 66.7%
		2 Black	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	12 34.3%	18 27.3%
		3 Asian	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	2 5.7%	2 3.0%
		5 Other	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)		2 3.0%
		Total	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	35 100.0%	66 100.0%
6 Every week (or more often)	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	65 68.4%	102 75.0%

V29 Race \* V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R) \* V24R Church attendance (R) Crosstabulation

V24R Church attendance (R)				V16R Laws	
				3 More strict	Total
6 Every week (or more often)	V29 Race	2 Black	Count	29	32
			% within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	30.5%	23.5%
		3 Asian	Count	1	1
			% within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	1.1%	.7%
		5 Other	Count		1
			% within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)		.7%
	Total		Count	95	136
			% within V16R Laws on firearms more strict (R)	100.0%	100.0%

Symmetric Measures

V24R Church attendance (R)			Value	Asymp. Std. Error <sup>a</sup>
2 Less often than that	Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.784	.298
		Cramer's V	.554	
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	-.615	
	N of Valid Cases		15	
3 A few times per year	Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.154	.349
		Cramer's V	.154	
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	-.340	
	N of Valid Cases		40	
4 Once or twice a month	Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.159	.216
		Cramer's V	.113	
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	.216	
	N of Valid Cases		66	
5 Almost every week	Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.319	.217
		Cramer's V	.226	
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	.257	
	N of Valid Cases		66	
6 Every week (or more often)	Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.305	.177
		Cramer's V	.216	
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	.598	
	N of Valid Cases		136	

### Symmetric Measures

V24R Church attendance (R)			Approx. T <sup>b</sup>	Approx. Sig.
2 Less often than that	Nominal by	Phi	-1.274	.056
	Nominal	Cramer's V		.056
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma		.203
	N of Valid Cases			
3 A few times per year	Nominal by	Phi	-.846	.622
	Nominal	Cramer's V		.622
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma		.398
	N of Valid Cases			
4 Once or twice a month	Nominal by	Phi	.996	.796
	Nominal	Cramer's V		.796
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma		.319
	N of Valid Cases			
5 Almost every week	Nominal by	Phi	1.180	.348
	Nominal	Cramer's V		.348
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma		.238
	N of Valid Cases			
6 Every week (or more often)	Nominal by	Phi	3.260	.049
	Nominal	Cramer's V		.049
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma		.001
	N of Valid Cases			

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

## Crosstabs

### Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
V29 Race * V19 Favor death penalty * V24R Church attendance (R)	329	91.9%	29	8.1%	358	100.0%

V29 Race \* V19 Favor death penalty \* V24R Church attendance (R) Crosstabulation

V24R Church attendance (R)				V19 Favor death penalty	
				1 Favor	2 Oppose
2 Less often than that	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	8 80.0%	4 100.0%
		2 Black	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	1 10.0%	
		5 Other	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	1 10.0%	
	Total	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	10 100.0%	4 100.0%	
3 A few times per year	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	25 89.3%	9 100.0%
		2 Black	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	3 10.7%	
	Total	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	28 100.0%	9 100.0%	
4 Once or twice a month	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	30 63.8%	5 38.5%
		2 Black	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	15 31.9%	7 53.8%
		5 Other	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	2 4.3%	1 7.7%
	Total	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	47 100.0%	13 100.0%	
5 Almost every week	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	29 72.5%	10 55.6%
		2 Black	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	9 22.5%	7 38.9%
		3 Asian	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty		1 5.6%
		5 Other	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	2 5.0%	
	Total	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	40 100.0%	18 100.0%	
6 Every week (or more often)	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	72 86.7%	20 54.1%

V29 Race \* V19 Favor death penalty \* V24R Church attendance (R) Crosstabulation

V24R Church attendance (R)				V19 Favor death penalty	
				1 Favor	2 Oppose
6 Every week (or more often)	V29 Race	2 Black	Count	11	15
			% within V19 Favor death penalty	13.3%	40.5%
		3 Asian	Count		1
			% within V19 Favor death penalty		2.7%
		5 Other	Count		1
			% within V19 Favor death penalty		2.7%
	Total		Count	83	37
			% within V19 Favor death penalty	100.0%	100.0%

V29 Race \* V19 Favor death penalty \* V24R Church attendance (R) Crosstabulation

V24R Church attendance (R)				V19 Favor	
				9 Don't know, No answer	Total
2 Less often than that	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	1 50.0%	13 81.3%
		2 Black	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	1 50.0%	2 12.5%
		5 Other	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty		1 6.3%
		Total	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	2 100.0%	16 100.0%
	3 A few times per year	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	2 66.7%
		2 Black	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	1 33.3%	4 10.0%
		Total	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	3 100.0%	40 100.0%
4 Once or twice a month	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	6 100.0%	41 62.1%
		2 Black	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty		22 33.3%
		5 Other	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty		3 4.5%
		Total	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	6 100.0%	66 100.0%
	5 Almost every week	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	6 60.0%
2 Black			Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	3 30.0%	19 27.9%
3 Asian			Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	1 10.0%	2 2.9%
5 Other			Count % within V19 Favor death penalty		2 2.9%
			Total	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	10 100.0%
6 Every week (or more often)	V29 Race	1 White	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	13 68.4%	105 75.5%

V29 Race \* V19 Favor death penalty \* V24R Church attendance (R) Crosstabulation

V24R Church attendance (R)				V19 Favor	
				9 Don't know, No answer	Total
6 Every week (or more often)	V29 Race	2 Black	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	6 31.6%	32 23.0%
		3 Asian	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty		1 .7%
	5 Other	Count % within V19 Favor death penalty		1 .7%	
	Total		Count % within V19 Favor death penalty	19 100.0%	139 100.0%

Symmetric Measures

V24R Church attendance (R)			Value	Asymp. Std. Error <sup>a</sup>
2 Less often than that	Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.488	.603
	Nominal by Ordinal	Cramer's V	.345	
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	.043	
	N of Valid Cases		16	
3 A few times per year	Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.266	.593
	Nominal by Ordinal	Cramer's V	.266	
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	.015	
	N of Valid Cases		40	
4 Once or twice a month	Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.321	.236
	Nominal by Ordinal	Cramer's V	.227	
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	.012	
	N of Valid Cases		66	
5 Almost every week	Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.309	.198
	Nominal by Ordinal	Cramer's V	.219	
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	.244	
	N of Valid Cases		68	
6 Every week (or more often)	Nominal by Nominal	Phi	.362	.116
	Nominal by Ordinal	Cramer's V	.256	
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma	.502	
	N of Valid Cases		139	

**Symmetric Measures**

V24R Church attendance (R)			Approx. T <sup>b</sup>	Approx. Sig.
2 Less often than that	Nominal by	Phi	.071	.432
	Nominal	Cramer's V		.432
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma		.943
	N of Valid Cases			
3 A few times per year	Nominal by	Phi	.025	.243
	Nominal	Cramer's V		.243
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma		.980
	N of Valid Cases			
4 Once or twice a month	Nominal by	Phi	.050	.146
	Nominal	Cramer's V		.146
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma		.960
	N of Valid Cases			
5 Almost every week	Nominal by	Phi	1.162	.369
	Nominal	Cramer's V		.369
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma		.245
	N of Valid Cases			
6 Every week (or more often)	Nominal by	Phi	3.376	.006
	Nominal	Cramer's V		.006
	Ordinal by Ordinal	Gamma		.001
	N of Valid Cases			

- a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.
- b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.