FACULTY SENATE MEETING

Wednesday, December 7, 1988
3:00 p.m.
Atchafalaya Room, LSU Union

AGENDA

1. Approval of the Minutes of the meeting of November 8, 1988

2. President's Report

3. Final action on Faculty Senate Resolution 88-5 (concerning need for foreign institutions doing business with LSU to certify they have "equal opportunity")

4. Final action on Faculty Senate Resolution 88-6 (requests financial assistance for analyzing data received from questionnaire concerning the Status of Women)

5. Old Business

6. New Business

If you are unable to attend this meeting of the Faculty Senate, please refer to Article VI, Appendix b, page 36, of the Faculty Handbook (1986 edition) for alternate representation.
MINUTES OF THE FACULTY SENATE MEETING DECEMBER 7, 1988

President Purcell called the meeting to order at 3:10 p.m. and welcomed Garry Ballard, Carruth McGhee, Steven Weintraub, and press representatives Glenn Andrews, Jim Crain, Deborah Day, Karen Butler, Sandy Hubert, Janie Petty, and Jim Zills.

1. Approval of the Minutes of the meeting of November 8, 1988
   There being no additions or corrections, the Minutes were approved as distributed.

2. President's Report

   The Board of Supervisors will receive a tuition benefits proposal prepared by the Chancellors at the December 9 meeting. The current proposal allows for a 50 percent reduction in undergraduate tuition for the dependents of faculty and unclassified staff. (The LSU System staff was asked to supply additional information for the January meeting of the Board. The Board seems favorable towards the idea, but the details are not clear as yet.)

   TIAA-CREF has prepared a proposal for an alternate retirement system for Louisiana faculty. President Purcell will meet with representatives of the other state colleges and universities in January to discuss this proposal and to make plans for getting it enacted.

   President Purcell presented an overview of the events surrounding the special November 30 meeting and events that have occurred since that time including meetings of the Executive Committee with the President of the LSU System and with the President of the Board of Supervisors. Chancellor Echols was given a copy of the report of the ad hoc committee formed by Resolution 88-20 before the November 30 special Faculty Senate meeting. He chose to resign from the University. The committee was asked by the Executive Committee to consider reducing the report. The reduced report was distributed to the Senators at the Special Faculty Senate meeting November 30, 1988. Under the provisions of the Public Information Law, Carl Reman from the Morning Advocate requested the full draft that had been presented to the Chancellor. The draft, including documentation, was released to the press and distributed to the Senators on December 2, 1988. The Executive Committee had been invited to meet with both the Chairman and the President of the Board of Supervisors in separate meetings regarding the interim Chancellor. Five members of the Executive Committee sent a memo to President Copping offering suggestions concerning the appointment of the interim Chancellor.

Senator Pernault offered Faculty Senate Resolution 88-12,

FACULTY SENATE RESOLUTION 88-12

WHEREAS, recent articles in the media have led to the public impression that the faculty, either individually or collectively, are attempting to improperly influence the selection of an interim Chancellor, and

WHEREAS, there has been some misrepresentation of the actions of a few faculty who were acting as individuals;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Faculty Senate recognizes the authority of President Copping to appoint an interim Chancellor and will make every effort to work with the person chosen, and

THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Faculty Senate will advise the President in any manner he sees fit, but has no desire to directly influence his decision or alter the processes by which a decision is reached, and

THEREFORE BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that the Faculty Senate recognizes the authority of President Copping to select a search committee and supports his structuring of the committee as publicly stated at the Faculty Senate meeting of November 30, 1988.

An amendment was offered (to delete everything after "the sees fit") by Senator Wallace, seconded by Senator Metz and passed. A motion to have the Resolution termed "Minor" and voted on at this meeting passed. The question was called by Senator Chambers and Resolution 88-7 failed.

Senator Lane offered Faculty Senate Resolution 88-8.

FACULTY SENATE RESOLUTION 88-8

WHEREAS, five of the six members of the Faculty Senate Executive Committee have sent a memo to President Copping recommending the appointment of a particular individual as interim Chancellor, and

WHEREAS, the wording of that memo was such as to promote the perception that the recommendation was from the Executive Committee as a body, thus speaking for the Senate, and not just the opinion of the individual signers, and

WHEREAS, such recommendations are not "educational policy" and thus not the proper realm of the Faculty Senate;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Executive Committee be reprimanded for exercising poor judgment in this matter.

A motion to term the Resolution "Minor" and voted on at this meeting was made by Senator Wallace, seconded by Senator Ten Gupta, and passed. The question was called and Resolution 88-8 failed.
Senator Richardson offered Faculty Senate Resolution 88-9

FACULTY SENATE RESOLUTION 88-9

WHEREAS, in view of news articles that misrepresented concerns of the Faculty Senate endorsement of a candidate for interim Chancellor;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Faculty Senate has not endorsed any particular person to be interim Chancellor.

A motion to term the Resolution "Minor" and voted on at this meeting was made by Senator Kemp, seconded, and passed. An amendment was offered (to delete everything before "Therefore be it resolved") by Senator Kemp, and passed. The question was called by Senator Feagley and Resolution 88-9 failed.

3. Final action on Faculty Senate Resolution 88-5 (concerning need for foreign institutions doing business with LSU; to certify they have "equal opportunity") A motion to "table" the Resolution for now and send it to the International Education Committee for possible changes was made by Senator Foll, seconded by Senator Sen Gupta, and passed.

4. Final action on Faculty Senate Resolution 88-6 (requests financial assistance for analyzing data received from questionnaire concerning the Status of Women) A motion to "table" the Resolution until after the Senate receives a preliminary report from the Committee was made by Senator Masse, seconded by Senator Johnson, and passed.

5. Old Business There was no old business.

6. New Business There was no new business.

There being no further business, President Purrtle adjourned the meeting at 4:48 p.m. The next regular meeting of the Faculty Senate is scheduled for January 17, 1989.

Respectfully submitted,

Ralph A. Kinney, Secretary

ATTEndance: (✓ present) ( * prox)

✓ Grace Arborski
✓ William Archambault
✓ Rhonda Atkinson
✓ Taryn Balthazar
✓ Jill Brody
✓ Janthea Broughton
✓ John Caprio
✓ George Catalano
✓ Frank Chaffin
✓ Susan Chapman
✓ Sue-Youn Cho
✓ Irene Di Maio
✓ Susan Corman
✓ Jude Dorman
✓ Loretta Doty
✓ Gary Fageley
✓ Marilyn Fletcher
✓ Carol Foll
✓ Jane Foll
✓ Michelle Gallerich
✓ Michael Grimes
✓ Robert Griner
✓ Robert Grodner
✓ Milton Hallman
✓ Robert Hausey
✓ Richard Haymaker
✓ Dan Herring
✓ Douglas Holt
✓ John Hosie
✓ David Johnson
✓ Seth Johnson
✓ Sarah Johnson
✓ Deborah Kern
✓ Gerald Kennedy
✓ Ralph Kinney
✓ David Kirshner
✓ Bonnie Kusmolak
✓ William Lane
✓ Frances Lawrence
✓ David Lomstreh
✓ Robert McHenry
✓ Michelle Masse
✓ Jeff Mathews
✓ James Miller
✓ Teresa Monner
✓ Gary Moore
✓ Robert Newman
✓ Wayne Parent
✓ Anna Perault
✓ Michael Pitts
✓ Jack Poplin
✓ Ralph Portier
✓ Virginia Purrtle
✓ Malcolm Richardson
✓ Douglas Rossman
✓ Milton Rush
✓ Ajarre Sen Gupta
✓ Lee Southern
✓ Neal Stolz
✓ Elymn Stolt
✓ Harriet Taylor
✓ Noel Tolin
✓ Connie VanDeveer
✓ Lynn Wallace
✓ Charles Wilson
✓ Kevin Wright
✓ Alman Yucel
✓ Selma Zemouri

PROXIES: James Stoner for Senator Parent
            Senator Foll for Senator Johnson
            J. Fears for Senator Portier
            Senator Masse for Senator Di Maio

Michael Burnett for Senator Moore
            Senator Kimney for Senator Monner
            Charles Granier for Senator Balthazar
            Senator Gallerich for Senator Holt
SCHOLASTIC REGULATIONS

ACADEMIC PROGRESS

Scholastic regulations embody the academic standards of a university. The application of the following regulations aims at upholding the standards of the University -- specifically, to impose the requirement of satisfactory academic progress. Continued enrollment by individuals who have shown a lack of necessary ability, preparation, industry, or maturity to make such progress and to benefit from a program of university study is inconsistent with the purposes and responsibilities of the University. Students can be placed on scholastic probation or dropped from the University, on the basis of unsatisfactory grades or progress toward meeting the requirements of their academic program, only at the end of a regular semester. Grades earned in correspondence courses must be received by the Office of Records and Registration by the last day of final exams to be counted in that semester's average.

SCHOLASTIC PROBATION AND DROPS

a) Students will be placed on scholastic probation by the University if their cumulative average on either LSU work or all college work attempted is less than 2.0 at the end of a regular semester.

b) Students will be removed from probation status only when their cumulative average on both LSU work and all college work attempted is at least 2.0 at the end of a regular semester.

c) Students on scholastic probation will be dropped from the University if their average for a regular semester is less than 2.0 on either LSU work or all college work attempted.

d) Students who have ever been on scholastic probation in a senior college will be dropped at the end of a regular semester if both the semester average and the cumulative average are below 2.0.

e) Students may be placed on college probation by the dean of their college or division for failing to make satisfactory progress toward meeting the requirements of their academic program. (In many senior colleges failing to earn a 2.0 average in a semester is considered to constitute lack of satisfactory progress.) Such students will be informed in writing of the conditions for remaining eligible to continue in the college or in a specific curriculum (usually earning at least a 2.0 average in a semester of approved coursework).

REENTRY OF STUDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN DROPPED

f) Students who are dropped for the first time for academic reasons are not eligible for readmission until they have been out of the University for one regular semester. Readmission may be delayed or denied at the
discretion of the dean of the student's college. Students who have been dropped twice for academic reasons must remain out of the University for at least two regular semesters. Readmission thereafter may be delayed or denied at the discretion of the dean.

g) Students dropped for scholastic reasons may not use credits earned at another institution during the period of their ineligibility to register in this University in fulfillment of their LSU degree requirements. However, they may register on a noncredit basis for correspondence courses offered by the University. Junior Division students in an ineligible status may enroll in summer school at LSU with permission of the dean. At the end of the summer term, the student may appeal in writing for consideration to re-enter LSU according to the Junior Division Appeal Procedure below.

h) Students who have been readmitted after having been dropped for scholastic reasons will be on scholastic probation when they return.

i) Students who have re-entered after having been dropped and who have subsequently been removed from probation will be dropped if their cumulative grade point average on either LSU or all college work attempted drops below a 2.0 at the end of a regular semester.

JUNIOR DIVISION APPEAL PROCEDURE

Junior Division students who have a deficiency of seven or fewer quality points may appeal their academic standing to the College Appeal Committee for consideration of mitigating circumstances. The appeal must be made to the dean in writing. Appeals will be considered on the basis of academic progress and potential to succeed.

GRADUATING SENIOR PROVISION

A degree candidate (a student who can complete all degree requirements in one semester) who, according to the above, should be dropped may be continued on probation at the discretion of the student's dean.