Why Do You Need This New Edition?

If you’re wondering why you should buy this sixth edition of *The Allyn & Bacon Guide to Writing*, here are 8 great reasons!

1. Coverage of integrating researched sources effectively and ethically has been expanded with new instruction, visual presentations, and examples to help you improve skills fundamental to college readiness and success while avoiding plagiarism (Chapters 21 and 22).
2. MLA and APA coverage is thoroughly updated according to the most recent citation guidelines from each organization, ensuring that you are learning the most current national standards (Chapter 22).
3. A thoroughly revised chapter on image analysis helps you work effectively with the wide variety of visual texts you will encounter in other course areas and outside of college (Chapter 10).
4. Eight new readings offer engaging and contemporary topics for discussion, written response, and exploratory research—including banning laptops and cell phones from classes, sustainable environmental practices, and the growing gap between the rich and the poor.
5. New visual explanations present complex topics clearly so that information is displayed at-a-glance in charts or color-coded samples rather than in long passages of text (Chapters 21 and 22).
6. A new section on presenting data visually to reach different kinds of readers helps you create effective tables, graphs, and charts to support your arguments (Chapter 19).
7. New instruction on creating thesis statements and introductions helps you with parts of the writing process that are often tricky for writers, but have a great impact on the efficacy of your writing (Chapter 2).
8. The sixth edition is available as a CourseSmart eText, and you can subscribe to *The Allyn & Bacon Guide to Writing* at CourseSmart.com. The site includes all of the book’s content in a format that enables you to search, bookmark, save notes, and print.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions to Ask</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What questions, dilemmas, or problems does this text raise for me?</td>
<td>Explore how Pollan has challenged readers to take actions that may be difficult for them. For instance, you may have arrived at other solutions or know groups or organizations that are contributing positively in other ways. Perhaps Pollan's level of commitment or his particular approach to living green disturbs you in some way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What new insights, ideas, or thoughts of my own have been stimulated by this text?</td>
<td>Explore any moments of enlightenment you had while reading Pollan. For example, perhaps his focus on individual action rather than on &quot;laws and money&quot; seems problematic to you. Perhaps there are other causes besides the environment that spur you to concern or action. Perhaps you are now interested in exploring what inspires people to make major changes in how they live.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As you can tell from these questions, a reflective strong response highlights your own personal experiences and beliefs in conversation with the text. Whereas the focus of a rhetorical critique is on analyzing the way the text works rhetorically and the focus of an ideas critique is on taking a stance on the ideas at stake in the text, a reflective response focuses on the personal dimension of reading the text. Reflections call for a degree of self-disclosure or self-exploration that would be largely absent from the other kinds of strong responses.

**Strong Response as a Blend**

It should be evident that the boundaries among the rhetorical critique, ideas critique, and reflection overlap and that a strong response could easily blend features of each. In trying to decide how to respond strongly to a text, you often don't have to confine yourself to a pure genre but can mix and match different kinds of responses. You can analyze and critique a text's rhetorical strategies, show how the text challenges your own personal values and beliefs, and also develop your own stance on the text's ideas. In writing a blended response, you can emphasize what is most important to you, while not limiting yourself to only one approach.

Before we turn to the writing project for this chapter, we show you an example of a student's summary/strong response that is a blend of rhetorical critique ideas critique, and personal reflection. Note that the essay begins by conveying ideas critique, and personal reflection. It then summarizes the writer's investment in environmental conservation. It then summarizes the writer's investment in environmental conservation. It then summarizes the writer's investment in environmental conservation.

---

**Summary of Pollan's article**

- **Thesis statement**: focused on rhetorical points
- **Second part of thesis**: focused on ideas critique

---

**Kyle Madsen (student)**

**Can a Green Thumb Save the Planet?**

A Response to Michael Pollan

When I was a child, our household had one garbage can, in which my family and I would deposit all of our cardboard, plastic, glass, and paper waste. No one on my block had ever heard of recycling or using energy saving bulbs, and we never considered turning down our thermostats during the frozen winters and ice storms that swept our region from November to March. It wasn't that we didn't care about what we were doing to our environment. We just didn't know any better. However, once I got to college all that changed. My university's policies requested that students separate glass bottles and pizza boxes from plastic candy wrappers and old food containers. Thanks in large part to the chilling success of Al Gore's documentary *An Inconvenient Truth*, many of my old neighbors were starting to catch on as well, and now my home town is as devoted to its recycling as any major metropolitan area. Still, even though we as a country have come a long way in just a few years, there is a long way to go. Environmental journalist Michael Pollan in his article "Why Bother?" for the New York Times Magazine examines why working to slow the threat of climate change is such a daunting task.

In "Why Bother?" Michael Pollan explores how we have arrived at our current climate change crisis and argues why and how we should try to change our individual actions. Pollan sums up the recent scientific evidence for rapid climate change and then focuses on people's feeling overwhelmed in the face of this vast environmental problem. He presents his interpretation of how we have contributed to the problem and why we feel powerless. Pollan asserts that the climate-change crisis is "the sum total of countless everyday choices" made by consumers globally and that it is "at its very bottom a crisis of lifestyle—of character, even" (90). Our reliance on "cheap fossil fuel" has contributed to both the problem and to our sense of helplessness. In the final part of his article, Pollan concludes that "laws and money" (90) are necessary to create change, but he still advocates acting on our values and setting an example, which might launch a green social revolution. According to Pollan, "The idea is to find one thing to do in your life that does not involve spending or voting... that will offer its own rewards" (93). He concludes by encouraging readers to plant gardens in order to reduce carbon emissions, to lessen our "sense of dependence and dividedness" (93)—to empower ourselves to contribute positively to our environment.

Although Pollan has created an argument with strong logical, ethical, and emotional appeal, his very dominant angle of vision—seen in his assumptions, alarmist language, and exclusive focus on garden-growing—may fail to win neutral readers. I also think Pollan's argument loses impact by not discussing more realistic alternatives such as pursuing smart consumerism and better environmental education for children.

Pollan builds a forceful case in his well-argued and knowledgeable interpretation of our climate-change problem as a "crisis of lifestyle—of character, even" (90).
thoughtfully organized vegetable garden (one planted from seed, nourished by compost from the kitchen and involving not too many drives to the gardening center), you can grow the proverbial free lunch （93–4）. However, not everyone has a space for a garden or access to a public one to grow tomatoes themselves, and it takes hours of backbreaking labor to grow a productive vegetable garden—hardly a free lunch. Average Americans work upwards of sixty hours per week, so it is unrealistic to expect them to spend their free time working in a garden. In not addressing readers’ objections to gardening or suggesting other ways to mend our cheap oil values, I think Pollan proposes simply another situation for semi-concerned individuals to again say, “Why bother?”

Also, besides gardens, I think Pollan could emphasize other avenues of change such as sustainable consumerism. In different places in the article, he mentions that individuals can change the consumer lifestyle to achieve a more sustainable way of life, but he chooses to insist that gardening be the main means. I would have liked him to discuss how we as consumers could buy more fuel-efficient cars, avoid plastic packaging, drink tap water, and buy products from green industries. This “going green” trend has already taken root in many of America’s top industries—at least in their advertising and public relations campaigns. We can’t leave a Starbucks without inadvertently learning about what they are doing to offset global warming. But we consumers need to know which industries really are going green in a significant way so that we can spend our shopping dollars there. If Pollan is correct, environmentally conscious consumers can demand a change from the corporations they rely on, so why not use the same consumerism that got us into this mess to get us out?

Besides sustainable consumerism, I think we should emphasize the promotion of better environmental education for our children. Curriculum in K–12 classrooms presented by teachers rather than information from television or newspapers will shape children’s commitment to the environment. A good example is the impact of Recycle Now, an organization aimed at implementing recycling and global awareness in schools. According to Dave Lawrie, a curriculum expert featured in their Web site, “Recycling at school is a hands-on way to show pupils that every single person can help to improve the environment. Everyone in our school has played a part in making a difference.” With serious education, kids will learn the habits of respecting the earth, working in gardens, and using energy-saving halogen bulbs, making sustainability and environmental stewardship a way of life.

While Pollan is correct in pushing us into action now, asking Americans to grow a garden, when change finally occurs, it seems daunting, is unrealistic, and limited approach. However, Pollan persuasively addresses the underlying issues in our attitudes toward the climate crisis and works to empower readers to become responsible and involved. Whether it be through gardening, supporting green businesses, or education, I agree with Pollan that the important thing is that you learn to bother for yourself.

Works Cited
In the student example just shown, Kyle Madsen illustrates a blended strong response that includes both a rhetorical critique of the article and some of his own views. He analyzes Pollan's article rhetorically by pointing out both the persuasive features of the argument and the limiting angle of vision of a world-trend environmentalist and extremely committed gardening enthusiast. He secondly of Pollan's points with his own examples, but he also reads Pollan against the grain by suggesting how Pollan's word choice and fixation on gardening as a solace prevent him from developing ideas that might seem more compelling to some readers.

A Summary

Write a summary of an article assigned by your instructor for an audience who has not read the article. Write the summary using attributive tags and providing an introductory context as if you were inserting it into your own longer paper (see the model on p. 100). The word count for your summary will be specified by your instructor. Try to follow all the criteria for a successful summary listed on page 100, and use MLA documentation style, including a Works Cited entry for the article that you are summarizing. (Note: Instead of an article, your instructor may ask you to summarize a longer text such as a book or a visual-verbal text such as a Web page or an advocacy brochure. We address these special cases at the end of this section.)

Generating Ideas: Reading for Structure and Content

Once you have been assigned an article to summarize, your first task is to read it carefully a number of times to get an accurate understanding of it. Remember that summarizing involves the essential act of reading with the grain as you figure out exactly what the article is saying. In writing a summary, you must focus on both a text's structure and its content. In the following steps, we recommend a process that will help you condense a text's ideas into an accurate summary. As you become a more experienced reader and writer, you'll follow these steps without thinking about them.

Step 1: The first time through, read the text fairly quickly for general meaning. If you get confused, keep going; later parts of the text may clarify earlier parts.

Step 2: Read the text carefully paragraph by paragraph. As you read, write gist statements in the margins for each paragraph. A gist statement is a brief indication of a paragraph's function in the text or a brief summary of a paragraph's content. Sometimes it is helpful to think of these two kinds of gist statements as "what it does" statements and "what it says" statements.* A "what it does" statement specifies the paragraph's function—for example, "summarizes an opposing view," "introduces another reason," "presents a supporting example," "provides statistical data in support of a point," and so on. A "what it says" statement captures the main idea of a paragraph by summarizing the paragraph's content. The "what it says" statement is the paragraph's main point, in contrast to its supporting ideas and examples.

When you first practice detailed readings of a text, you might find it helpful to write complete does and says statements on a separate sheet of paper rather than in the margins until you develop the internal habit of appreciating both the function and content of parts of an essay. Here are does and says statements for selected paragraphs of Michael Pollan's article on climate change activism.

Paragraph 1: Does: Introduces the need for environmental action as a current problem that readers know and care about and sets up the argument. Says: We as individuals often wonder if our small, minor actions are worth doing in light of the magnitude of the climate change problem.

Paragraph 2: Does: Explores another reason why individuals may doubt whether individual actions could make a difference. Says: People willing to change their lifestyles to combat climate change may be discouraged by the increase in a carbon-emissions lifestyle in other parts of the world such as China.

Paragraph 8: Does: Expresses an alternative view, partially concedes to it, and asserts a counterview. Says: Although big money and legislation will be important in reversing climate change, the problem at its heart is a "crisis of lifestyle"—of character (90), and therefore will require the effort of individuals.

Paragraph 18: Does: Presents and develops one of Pollan's main reasons that concerned individuals should take personal action to fight climate change. Says: Seeing an example through our own good environmental choices could exert moral influence here and abroad, on individuals and big business.

Writing a says statement for a paragraph is sometimes difficult. You might have trouble, for example, deciding what the main idea of a paragraph is, especially if the paragraph doesn't begin with a closed-form topic sentence. One way to respond to this problem is to formulate the question that you think the paragraph answers. If you think of chunks of the text as answers to a logical progression of questions, you can often follow the main ideas more easily. Rather than writing says statements in the margins, therefore, some readers prefer writing says questions. Says questions for the Pollan text may include the following:

* What are some of the biggest obstacles that discourage people from undertaking individual actions to fight climate change?
* Despite our excuses not to act, why is individual action still necessary?
* How is the problem of climate a "crisis of lifestyle"?
* What are the reasons we should "bother"?
* Why is growing one's own vegetable garden a particularly powerful individual act?

*For our treatment of "what it does" and "what it says" statements, we are indebted to Kenneth A. Bruffee, A Short Course In Writing, 2nd ed. (Cambridge, MA: Wadsworth, 1980).
CHAPTER 5  Reading Rhetorically

No matter which method you use—says statements or asks questions—writing gist statements in the margins is far more effective than underlining or highlighting in helping you recall the text's structure and argument.

**Step 3: Locate the article's main divisions or parts.** In longer closed-form articles, writers often forecast the shape of their essays in their introductions or use their conclusions to sum up main points. For example, Pollan's article uses some forecasting and transitional statements to direct readers through its parts and main points. The article is divided into several main chunks as follows:

- Introductory paragraphs, which establish the problem to be addressed and describe the reasons that people don't take action to help slow climate change (paragraphs 1-5).
- Two transitional paragraphs (a one-sentence question and a two-word answer) stating the author's intention to call for individual action in spite of the obstacles. These two paragraphs prepare the move into the second part of the article (paragraphs 6 and 7).
- A paragraph conceding to the need for action beyond the individual (laws and money) followed by a counterclaim that the climate change problem is a "crisis of lifestyle" (paragraph 8).
- Eight paragraphs developing Pollan's "crisis of lifestyle" claim, drawing on Wendell Berry and explaining the concepts of specialization and the "cheap energy mind" that have led us both into the climate change problem and the feelings of inadequacy to tackle it (paragraphs 9-16).
- A transitional paragraph conceding that reasons against individual action are "many and compelling," but proposing better ways to answer the "why bother" question. (paragraph 17)
- Two paragraphs developing Pollan's reasons for individual action—how individuals will influence each other and broader communities and lead to "social change" (paragraphs 18-19).
- Two paragraphs elaborating on the possibility of social change based on analogy to the end of Communism in Czechoslovakia and Poland and to various ways individuals might make significant changes in their lifestyles (paragraphs 20-21).
- Five paragraphs detailing Pollan's choice for the best solution for people to reduce their carbon emissions and make a significant environmental statement: grow gardens (paragraphs 22-26).

Instead of listing the sections of your article, you might prefer to make an outline or tree diagram of the article showing its main parts.

**Drafting and Revising**

Once you have determined the main points and grasped the structure of the article you are summarizing, combine and condense your says statements into says statements that capture the gist of the article. These shortened versions of your says statements will make up most of your summary, although you might mention the structure of the article to help organize the points. For example, you might say, "[Author's name] makes four main points in this article. ... The article concludes with a call to action." Because representing an article in your own words in a greatly abbreviated form is a challenge, most writers revise their sentences to find the clearest, most concise way to express the article's ideas accurately. Choose and use your words carefully to stay within your word limit.

The procedures for summarizing articles can work for book-length texts and visual-verbal texts as well. For book-length texts, you do says and asks statements may cover chapters or parts of the book. Book introductions and conclusions as well as chapter titles and introductions may provide clues to the author's thesis and subthesis to help you identify the main ideas to include in a book summary. For visual-verbal texts such as a public affairs advocacy ad, product advertisement, Web page, or brochure, examine the parts to see what each contributes to the whole. In your summary, help your readers visualize the images, comprehend the parts, and understand the main points of the text's message.

Plan to create several drafts of all summaries to refine your presentation and wording of ideas. Group work may be helpful in these steps.

**Finding Key Points in an Article**

If the whole class or a group of students is summarizing the same article, brainstorm together and then reach consensus on the main ideas that you think a summary of that article should include to be accurate and complete. Then reread your own summary and check off each idea.

When you revise your summary, consult the criteria on page 102 in this chapter as well as the Questions for Peer Review that follow.

**Questions for Peer Review**

In addition to the generic peer review questions explained in, Skill 16.4, ask your peer reviewers to address these questions:

1. In what way do the opening sentences provide needed contextual information and then express the overall thesis of the text? What information could be added or more clearly stated?
2. How would you evaluate the writer's representation and coverage of the text's main ideas in terms of accuracy, balance, and proportion? What ideas have been omitted or overemphasized?
3. Has the writer treated the article fairly and neutrally? If judgments have crept in, where could the writer revise?
4. How could the summary use attributive tags more effectively to keep the focus on the original author's ideas?
5. Has the writer used quotations sparingly and cited them accurately? Has the writer translated points into his or her own words? Has the writer included a Works Cited?
6. Where might the writer's choice of words and phrasing of sentences be revised to improve the clarity, conciseness, and coherence of the summary?